

Department of Education REGION XI SCHOOLS DIVISION OF DIGOS CITY

OFFICE OF THE SCHOOLS DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT

DIVISION MEMORANDUM No. 270, s.2021

April 30, 2021

DISSEMINATION OF THE POLICY GUIDELINES ON THE CONDUCT OF THE REGIONAL VALIDATION ON THE SBM LEVEL OF PRACTICE AND THE UTILIZATION OF THE QMS ASSESMENT TOOL WITH CONTEXTUALIZED MEANS OF VERIFICATION (MOVs)

To: Assistant Schools Division Superintendent
CID and SGOD Chiefs
Division SBM Technical Working Group (TWG)
Public Schools District Supervisors
School Heads of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools
All Other Concerned

- 1. This is to disseminate to the field the stipulations of Regional Memorandum No. 010 s2021 dated March 4, 2021 entitled *Policy Guidelines on the Conduct of the Regional Validation on the SBM Level of Practice and the Utilization of the QMS Assessment Tool with Contextualized Means of Verification (MOVs)*, contents of which are self-explanatory.
- 2. Attached is a copy of the Regional Memorandum No. 010 s2021 for details and reference.
- 3. Widest dissemination and strict compliance with the policy guidelines provided in the regional issuance mentioned herein are highly desired.

CRISTY C. EPE

Schools Division Superintendent

DepEd Schools Division of Digos City
RECORDS SECTION
RE 21-70803 ED
DATE: 05-17-2021 TIME: (09:55 a.m.)
BY: HELENA SOLON, LPT, MPA



Address: Roxas Street cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City 8002 **Telephone No:** (082) 553-8396 | (082) 553-8376 | (082) 553-9170 | (082) 553-8375



Department of Education

DAVAO REGION

Office of the Regional Director

March 4, 2021

REGIONAL MEMORANDUM No. 010, s. 2021

POLICY GUIDELINES ON THE CONDUCT OF THE REGIONAL VALIDATION ON THE SBM LEVEL OF PRACTICE AND THE UTILIZATION OF THE QMS ASSESSMENT TOOL WITH CONTEXTUALIZED MEANS OF VERIFICATION (MOVs)

To

All Schools Division Superintendents All Chiefs of Functional Divisions

- The Department of Education, Regional Office XI, issues the enclosed Policies and Guidelines on the conduct of the Regional Validation on the School-Based Management (SBM) Level of Practice and the utilization of the QMS Assessment Tool with contextualized Means of Verifications (MOVs) in all public elementary and secondary schools in the eleven (11) Schools Division Offices.
- The Policy and its implementing guidelines shall be effective immediately upon issuance thereof.
- All Regional Orders/Memoranda, and other related issuances, provisions, rules and regulations, which are inconsistent with these guidelines are hereby replaced, repealed, or amended accordingly.
- Immediate dissemination and strict compliance with these guidelines are desired.

Enclosed: As stated.

Reference: DO No. 83, s. 2012

To be indicated in the <u>Perpetual Index</u> under the following subjects:

ACTIVITIES

CONTENT

EXHIBITS

ROF2/rsm







Department of Education

DAVAO REGION

Office of the Regional Director

POLICY GUIDELINES ON THE VALIDATION OF THE SBM LEVEL OF PRACTICE AND THE UTILIZATION OF THE QMS CALIBRATED TOOL WITH CONTEXTUALIZED MOVS

RATIONALE

DepEd Regional Office XI adheres to the provisions of Republic Act 9155 or known as the Governance of Basic Education Act of 2001 which mandates the decentralization of the school management system to empower the school heads and its stakeholders to effectively address issues and concerns in the delivery of quality basic education. Section 2 of the same Republic Act provides the policy and the principle of governance of basic education that shall be translated into programs, projects, and services developed, adapted to fit to the local context.

DepEd Order No. 83, s. 2012 on the Implementing Guidelines of the Revised School-Based Management (SBM) Framework, Assessment Process and Tool (APAT) strengthened the School-Based Management (SBM) practice and re-emphasized the centrality of the learners and the involvement of relevant community to basic education service delivery. The same DepEd Order provided the guidelines on how the SBM Level of Practice is being assessed using the criteria: 40% according to the validated practices using Document-Observation-Discussion (DOD) analysis; and 60% based on improvement of the learning outcomes.

Further, an essential role of QMS in the validation of School-Based Management level of practice is deemed necessary. Wherefore, DepEd Regional Office XI endeavors to deliver quality services across all layers of governance. It adheres to the institutionalization of QMS in the Department of Education as stipulated in DO No. 009 s. 2021 in fostering Continuous Improvement toward an enhanced and sustained client satisfaction. Corollary to this, it boosts internal efficiency using the process approach in calibrating the SBM Assessment Tool for the validation and certification of the SBM Level of Practice.

Hence, this Regional Policy shall be effective immediately upon issuance. The Functional Division (FDs), Schools Division Offices (SDOs), other concerned offices, and School Heads shall adhere to this Regional Policy to harmonize the SBM assessment in all public schools, this Region.

POLICY BRIEF AND PURPOSE

The calibrated APAT will be used in assessing the capability of schools for School-Based Management (SBM) Advanced Level of Practice. Despite all the measures that are put in place, this appraisal instrument is designed to ensure that the information provided in all relevant documents for validation are authentic. Thus, to achieve sustainable advantage, this maximizes the level of consistency through the lenses of an increased productivity, accountability, efficiency, effectiveness and Quality Management System. Accordingly, it simplifies the multi-functional validation processes on how the principles of SBM were plugged into each other to raise the bar of performance excellence and continuous improvement.







Department of Education

DAVAO REGION

Office of the Regional Director

SCOPE

This policy applies to all the public elementary and secondary schools in Region XI.

POLICY ELEMENTS AND GUIDELINES

| Phases | Deliverables | Mechanisms | Responsibility |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| I. Pre-Validation | a. AVP or Slide Deck on the School Context is made available for a te(10) minute presentation. | The school head is given the leeway on the details and contents of the presentation to be shown during the Regional Validation. | School Head SBM TWG |
| | b. Electronic Copies of the SBM MOVs for validation are prepared | Scan only the documents/MOVs with evidence-based information, with creative, innovative impact, relevant and compelling content. Place the scanned documents in one folder per principle. | SBM TWG at the School Level |
| | c. SBM TWG and other stakeholders are well oriented and capacitated on the SBM-QMS Validation process. | All members of the SBM TWG are capacitated and oriented on the SBM- QMS validation mechanisms and processes. | School Head, SBM Coordinator, TWG, SGC President, PTA President and Treasurer, SSG/SPG President, President of the Teachers Organization or Faculty Club |
| | d. The School Physical Environment upkeeps health and safety protocols, accessibility, well- maintained infrastructures and learning facilities. | The school management showcases inclusiveness and powerful support in creating and maintaining a healthy school's physical environment where efficient delivery of quality basic education is evident. | School Head School Project Team School Planning Team |







Department of Education DAVAO REGION

| | ne Regional Director Deliverables | Mechanisms | Responsibility |
|----------------|--|--|---|
| Phases | Deliverables | Mechanisms | Responsions |
| | e. SBM Hub with ICT facilities and equipment installed is made ready for the virtual/onsite validation | The SBM Hub is an area/space or a room where all artifacts/MOVs are displayed and made ready for the Regional Validation on the SBM Level of practice. | School Head SBM TWG/Task Force |
| II- Validation | a. Prefatory/Opening Program (at most 20 minutes) | Brief Introduction and Orientation on the Regional Validation Processes and mechanisms. Breakout Session of the School Team for the four(4) SBM Principles. | School Head SBM TWG/Task Force Regional Validation Team |
| | b. Validation Proper (at most 3 hours) | Conduct the Document- Observation-Discussion (DOD) analysis per principle as stipulated in DepEd Order 83, s.2012 | Regional Validation Team School Head SBM TWG/Task Force |
| | | Appraise the documents/MOVs presented according to the Contextualized SBM-QMS qualitative and quantitative Indicators Discuss with the team the validation results and the recommendations | Regional Validation Team School Head SBM TWG/Task Force |
| | c. Exit Conference/ Closing Program (at most 1 hour | SBM Validation Findings shall be discussed by the assigned Validators for each principle. Declare the Overall Result/s of the Validation and the Recommendation of the RVT. | Validation Team School Head SBM TWG/Task Force Division Team |







Department of Education

DAVAO REGION

Office of the Regional Director

| Phases | Deliverables | Mechanisms | Responsibility |
|---|--|---|--|
| | c. Exit Conference/ Closing Program (at most 1 hour) | SBM Validation Findings shall be discussed by the assigned Validators for each principle. Declare the Overall Result of the Validation and the Recommendation of the Regional Validation Team (RVT). Submit the SBM Validation results to the Field Technical Assistance Division after the validation activity | Regional Validation Team School Head SBM TWG/Task Force Division Team |
| | d. SBM Validation Results on the SBM Level of Practice accomplished by the RVT | Validation Results shall be submitted to FTAD for consolidation. | Regional Validation Team |
| III- Regional Convergence and the Recognition of SBM Level 111 Schools | a Consolidated Regional Validation Results of the SBM Level III schools in the 11 SDOs | Conduct Post-conference of the RVT. Organize TWG for the Regional Convergence of SBM level III schools. Prepare all the needed resources and manpower for the event. | FTAD Regional Validation Team |

1V SBM VALIDATION PLATFORM AND APPRAISAL INSTRUMENTS

| PLATFORM/ INSTRUMENT | CONTEXTUAL DESCRIPTORS |
|--|---|
| Online/digital, Face to Face/Onsite or blended | The validation platform to be used shall be determined by the school in considerations with the IATF health protocols and the Omnibus Guidelines. |



AJA VAB



Department of Education

DAVAO REGION

Office of the Regional Director

| PLATFORM/ INSTRUMENT | CONTEXTUAL DESCRIPTORS |
|---|---|
| | For online/digital platform for the virtual validation event, the school shall choose an excellent tool for the live experiences, user-friendly and can support multiple hosts for breakout groupings |
| | The school must also consider the ICT equipment available and address connectivity issues for virtual validation. |
| SBM -QMS Calibrated APAT with Contextualized MOVs | The SBM-QMS Assessment Tool with the Contextualized Means of Verification (MOVs) shall be utilized as appraisal instrument for the Regional Validation on the SBM Level of Practice in all schools in the eleven (11) SDOs. |

V. SCHEDULE OF THE REGIONAL VALIDATION

The Regional Validation of Schools with SBM Level 111 of practice shall only be scheduled upon the recommendation of their respective Schools Division Superintendent certifying that the Division SBM Validation has been conducted.

VI. COMPOSITION OF THE REGIONAL VALIDATION TEAM

- 1. The members of the Regional Validation Team shall consist of the following to wit:
 - a. Currently employed in the Department of Education as ASDS, Chiefs (FDs, SGOD, and CID), Regional/Division EPSs, PSDSs, PDOs, SEPSs, and Regional/Division SBM Coordinators;
 - b. He/she should not be currently assigned as school head; and
 - He/she should have attended any Capability Building/Retooling activities for the Regional Validation of SBM Level of Practice.

V1I. Miscellaneous Provisions

1. Separability Clause

Any part or provision of this policy which may be held invalid or unconstitutional shall not affect the validity and effectivity of other provisions.

2. Repealing Clause

All prior Regional Orders/Memoranda or other issuances, or provision thereof, which are inconsistent, are hereby repealed, or modified accordingly.

3. Effectivity

This policy shall take effect immediately upon issuance.





| Standard | A network of leadersh | A network of leadership and governance guides the education system to achieve its shared vision, mission and goals making them reconstitutions and education system to achieve its shared vision, mission and goals making them reconstitutions. | ation system to ac | hieve its shared | l vision, mission and go | oals making them re | los base consensos | | , | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|------------------|---|
| | | Documneta | Documnetary Analysis | | | State of the state | and responsive and res | evant to the context | t of diverse er | ivironments. |
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documen and Mechaniss | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the liter | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | - | Scoring Rubrics | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 1.1 Sustained implementation of School Development Plan /Enhanced School Improvement Plan(ESIP) that is well understood and collaboratively supported by stakeholders. | An Enhanced School improvement Plan (ESIP) that fostered collaboration of school and communities to work together to serve the best interest of the learners and their access to quality basic education. | 1. Copy of the approved ESIP with Annexes (DO 44, s. 2015) 2. Attendance sheets duly accomplished by the participating community stakeholder in the drafting of the SIP/AIP. 3. Narrative Reports/photo documentation of the activities, minutes and proceedings of meetings, conferences, trainings, workshops, and capacity building program for the School Planning and Project Teams. | | | An ESIP that fostered The ESIP sho broader ownership no proof of and involvement of the internal and external stakeholders external stakeholders external stakeholders involvement, to work together to school perso serve the best interest are involved, of the learners and their access to quality basic education. | The ESIP showed The refer no proof of documen external presented stakeholders involvem: involvement; only at least 7 school personnel stakehold stipulated are involved. Stipulated 44, s.2015 | The reference documents presented the involvement of at least 7 stakeholders as stipulated in DO 44, s.2015. | The reference documents and other evidences have shown the engagement and collaboration of more than 7 stakeholders in the SPT for broader ownership in the delivery of basic education services. | | |
| 1.2. A collaboratively developed, implemented, regularly reviewed, in Enhanced School Improvement Plan (ESIP) that is responsive, relevant to the varrying contexts and needs of the school and community. | School- Community broader ownership in the management and implementation of PAPs and in the regular review for the improvement of process and practice. | 1. Copy of the accomplished Project Monitoring Report/SMEA, 2. Minutes of the meetings organized and led by the community stakeholders/SPT and FGDs conducted for ESIP review. 3. Photo documentation on the the SIP review conducted. 4. Attendance sheets duly accomlished by the participants. 5. Logbook of incoming /Outgoing communications | | 7 4 2 3 2 8 8 2 2 8 8 8 | All relevant programs, projects, and activities targetted in the Esip implementation are regularly reviewed and adjusted by the school community making them responsive to the emerging issues and concerns of the school. | Not all programs, Projects, and Activities in the ESIP are implemented successfully, reviewed adjusted according to the lemerging needs of the school and a community. | The implementation of the Programs, Projects, and Activities in the ESIP are monitored, and reviewed collaboratively by the school stakeholders and adjusted to the emerging needs, challenges and opportunities. | The engagement of stakeholders evidently shows that the School Community takes the lead in the review and adjustment of the relevant Programs, Projects, and Activities inmplemented according to the ESIP targets, timelines and measurable outcomes. | | |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | nry Analysis | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---|----------|-----------------|---|---------|-------------------------|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, | Other documentary evidence of best | Documented Innovations/ | Criteria | | | Rating/ | Observation/Discussion/ |
| | | and Mechanisms) | practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Local Initiatives in the New Normal | | 2 | m | acosc | Recommendation |

| The school significantly utilizes its Organizational Flow /Business Process Map to realign related processes that promote shared leadership and governance where TA and administrative support are in place. | The school has institutionalized a dynamic organizational communication system that facilitates information sharing to reach individuals, groups, organizations, LGUs, agencies for school-community partnership. |
|---|--|
| efficiently significantly conducted the utilizes its orientation on the roles and the roles and flow/Business responsibilities process Map to of external and realign related internal processes that stakeholders promote share based on its well leadership and defined organizational where TA and Structure/Flow administrative Chart or support are in Business Map. | The school has established a functional comprehensive communication system that allows the regular presentation of the updated school data profile including but not limited to the financial liquidations and performance |
| The school has an The school has organizational efficiently structure or Flow conducted the Chart with a well- orientation on defined responsibilities of responsibilities of responsibilities of responsibilities all school internal stakeholders based on its we defined Organizational Structure/Flow Chart or Business Map. | The school has developed a mechanism for the presentation of school data profile including but not limited to the financial liquidations and performance indicators. |
| The school has a clear The school has an Structure and Business organizational efficiently Process Map where structure or Flow conducted the the responsibilities and existing clubs and responsibilities of responsibilities organizations, all school committees and personnel. stakeholders stakeholders are well-defined, discussed, and practiced. Structure/Flow Chart or Business Map. | The school has institutionalized a communication network that facilitates efficient reporting system and easy access to information. |
| | |
| PTA /SGC CBL/ Manual Roles/Functions elaborated in the minutes of meeting Matrix of the technical assistance provided to stakeholders to sustain shared leadership and governance. A. Organizational Flow Chart/Structure/ Business Process Map. | 1. Documented Information on an expanded access to information and network of communication with other government agencies, organizations and partners. 2. Compillation of reports on the Fora, symposia and other activities conducted. 3. School Website/ Dash Board 4. School Report Card/SMEA Reports. |
| by an agreed organizational structure/Flow Charts/ Business Process Map where the community stakeholders take the lead in defining the roles and responsibilities in providing technical and administrative support. | The network allows a easy exchange and a access to information in sources beyond the school community. |
| organized by a clear by an agr structure and work arrangements that promote shared promote shared governance and a stakehold well-defined the roles lead in de and responsibilities of roles and the stakeholders. responsibilities of roles and the stakeholders. responsibilities of roles and admin support. | 1.4 A leadership network that facilitates communication between and among school and community leaders for informed decision-making and solving of school- community wide- learning problems. |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 7 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 1.5 A sustained development program efficiently carried o is in operation where the responsibility feall school personnel their own training are trained and capacitated and the community leaders stakeholders are well-working individually informed and engaged or in groups, coach in school and mentor one activities. VMG. | 1.5 A sustained development program efficiently carried out is in operation where the responsibility for all school personnel their own training and are trained and development, School capacitated and the community leaders stakeholders are well-working individually informed and engaged or in groups, coach in school and mentor one and mentor one and mentor one anothers. VMG. | Copy of the Training and Development Plan , Self-monitoring and evaluation tool 2. Copy of the Training/Activity Design for Teaching and Non-Teaching personnel and Stakeholders. Report on the TIP/LAC/SLAC/LDM sessions conducted, with the attached List of participants. 4. ESIP/AIP 5. | | | The School Initiated Training and Development Programs responsive to the needs of personnel and information sharing activities for stakeholders and other community leaders. | The school has crafted a long implemented term the Training and development plan Development based on the Plan that is needs of all responsive to school personnel. The needs of school personnel and stakeholders. | The school has The School implemented Initiated Intitated Development Development Plan that is responsive to the needs of personnel and personnel and commun leaders are informed and engaged in school development activities. | The School Initiated Training and Development Program that is sustained, all personnel are trained and capacitated, stakeholders and community leaders are informed and engaged in school development activities. | | |

2. Curriculum and Instruction

Principle

Principle of Community-Based Learning

drive continuous mprovement of effectiveness of efficiency and instruction to outcomes and the quality of assesses the the learning The evidence of | The school education. regularly over-all The curriculum learning systems anchored on the community and learners' contexts and aspirations are collaboratively developed and continuously improved. according to the Contextualized contexts of the responsive special the school has supports that programs that designed and implemented assessed and Intervention are regularly community. monitored, school and adjusted varrying practice the needs of learners. address diverse The school has program/s to implemented inclusive and opportunities for the The basic education learners holistic curriculum has development. provided 1. Cl Project/Action Research for 5. Culminating Performances and curriculum is efficient 2. Results of Action Research are 6. Documentation on the school Quaterterly Assessment Results contexts of the school 3. Contextualized Intervention 9. List of learners who are NC II 10. Profile of SHS Graduates 4. Learners Profile/tracking Teaching Learning process programs for teaching and offerings and specialized and it suits the varying adapted and applied. Holders. (JHS &SHS) programs. learning. System Recital 8. COT The delivery of the basic education and community, 2.1 A curriculum that with the 21st century leads to a change in needs of all types of producing graduates practice, inclusive, who are equipped responsive to the learners thereby relevant and Standard skills.

| | ssion/ | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation | | |
| | Obser | | |
| | Rating/ Score | | |
| | m | The adoption of the approaches to localization and contextualization has provided avenues for the exploration of relevant modalities which casted the 21st century learners to be holistically and skillfully developed. | The Self-Learning modules, Strategic intevention Materials, and other resources are efficiently utilized for instruction and information sharing which casted a strong school and community connections and actions that support better outcomes for learning. |
| Scoring Rubrics | 7 | The school has the compilation of localized learning materials and context-based resources that are produced and utilized to achieve higher learning outcomes. | Locally developed Self-Learning Modules (SLMs) Strategic intervention Materials (SIMs), and other learning resources are collaboratively produced and made available with the support of the community experts. |
| | 1 | Learning standards and competencies are adhered in the delivery of instructions and preceded with localization and contextualization of relating the content of the curriculum to local condition and real life situations. | Developed/Produ ced Self-Learning Modules (SLMs), Strategic Intervention Materials (SIMs), and other references for learning. |
| | Criteria | The school and its teachers are adaptive and technically equipped in the localization and contextualization of the curriculum for the 21st century learners to be holistically and skillfully developed. | The school has collaboratively produced/published locally developed learning materials/SLMs with the support of its stakeholders. |
| | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | | F 0 0 2 6 E # W |
| ry Analysis | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | | |
| Documnetary Analysis | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | 1. Contextualized approaches are integrated in the DLP/DLL /ILP 2. Compilation of Quality-assured localized learning materials and resources 3. Lesson Guides and WHLP 4. Schedule for the Remedial Instruction/Intervention Program 5. Compillation of Least learned competencies and the appropriate intervention program/activity and the list of learners who have not meet the expectations 6. MELCS/SLMs 7. Sample of students creative and innovative outputs | 1. School Reading Program 2. Math Thinkers Quest (Math Camp, Jingles) 3. Copy of Strategic Intervention Materials (SIM)/Science Investigatory Projects (SIPs) approved by the Division Office 4. Collaboration with SGC/BRGY Educ. Committae/PTA in the production of localized IMs. 5. School Assessment Result (NAT, NCAE, CFSS) 6. Teacher-Made or Teacher-Developed Materials 7. MOU and DOD |
| | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Best practices in localizing the curriculum are mainstreamed and benchmarked by other schools. A remarkable increase increase in the number of projects that utilizes the community as learning laboratory, and the schools as an agent of change for development. | Learning Materials /SLMs and basic approaches to develop creative, critical thinking and problem solving skills of learners are being used/adopted in school, in the family and in community |
| | Intended Outcomes | 2.2 A school that has created a positive climate for curriculum innovation, localization and contextualization to achieve clearly defined objectives which cast the learners in an active, dynamic self-actualizing role, competent and responsible for learning lifeskills. | 2.3 Escalating in the Stakehoder engagement/support in the production/ publication of the learning materials that facilitate the development of creative, critical thinking and problem solving skills among learners. |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | nry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 7 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 2.4 An M & E Framework to ensure the holistic growth and development of the learners and the community. | a. The monitoring system is accepted and regularly used for collective decision. (National, Regional, Division or School-Based) | 1. Records/documents of the monitoring System/utilization of data gathered 2. Feedback on the monitoring conducted 3. Pictures, Attendance, Narrative Report/ Accomplishment Reports 4. SRC reported to the LGU,SGC Meeting PTA/Gen. Assembly 5. Reports in the conduct of FGD with stakeholders 6. Data on the Institutional Assessment (NCs) of JHS/SHS Students under the TVL/ICT Track and Strand (This does not apply for the Elementary Schools) | | | The schools has established an M & E Framework that outlines the objectives, inputs, outputs and outcomes in the PIAs. | The school has adopted (national, regional and Division) or s developed its own tool to monitor progress, output and outcomes. | The school has installed a functional monitoring system that tracked the achevement of the curriculum goals and objectives and the implementation of the targeted PAPs in the ESIP. | The school utilizes the monitoring and evaluation results for collective action, recommendation when changes maybe needed for improvement of practice. | | |
| | b. The monitoring tool covers both qualitative and quantitative indicators. | 1. Clients' feedback 2. Analysis of the monitoring resuts 3. Copy of the Monitoring Tool (National, Regional and Division and Contextualized) 4. List of QA/M &E Team | | - L U U W | M&E mechanism that Feedback measures mechanism productivity, estalished efficiency and improve the monitoring school operation. system, praction and tool. | n is to to occess | Monitoring system, process and tools are adjusted, gaps are identified, challenges are addressed, performance indicators are clearly defined. | The school has created a Quality Assurance / M&E Team to help set out basic principles of school operation that measures productivity, | | |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 2 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| Results showed the redevelopment of a development of a broader range of life the skills among learners is and the significant academic progress across learning areas and grade levels. | School assessment results are used to develop community-based learning programs that are responsive to the needs of diverse learners. | 1. Copy of the contextualized learning program/s 2. Copy of School-Initiated Intervention (S.I.I.) 3. Attendance of quarterly parent-teacher conference during distribution of progress report cards. 5. Assessment Tools 6. Assessment Rubrics 7. Reading Proficiency Profile for Oral and Silent Reading. 8. Profile of Non-readers and learners with special needs. | | | Classroom-Based Assessment Tools are reviewed and adjusted as a systematc basis for making inferences for teaching and learning to determine the academic progress of diverse learners. | The school has utilized existing assessment tools for teaching and learning. | The school classroom- recognizes the Based active role of ssessment teachers in results are, designing reviewed, authentic analyzed and performance utilized to improve improve assessment tool instruction, with rubrics that adjust teaching made the strategies, learning learning learning objecties and approaches and the evaluation find creative criteria explicit ways that meet and measurable. the needs of diverse learners | Classroom- Based ssessment results are, reviewed, analyzed and utilized to improve instruction, adjust teaching strategies, learning approaches and find creative ways that meet the needs of diverse learners. | | |
| 2.6. An inclusive, gender-sensitive School Learning environment, that nurtures the vision, mission and goals of the department of Education; where" no learner shall be left behind". | a. A Community- driven learning environment that is inclusive and adherent to child's rights and protection requirements. | Accomplished checklist in adherence to Child Protection and Child Friendly School Learning Environment methods and resources(to be clarified, if checklist is available) Copies of Child-centered DLL/strategies and other instructional materials | | | Learning managers and facilitators observed inclusivity of learners and ensure that they are protected with their basic rights in the school, home and community. | The school has conducted Child Mapping and profiling of learners. (including OSC & OSY). | The school has The school h functional Child initiated Protection Policy community-Committee driven programs are activities in protected. Pearmers are protected. Pearmers' safe and protection in the new normal. | The school has initiated community-driven programs , projects and activities in ensuring learners' safety and protection in the new normal. | | |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 7 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| | b. A Child Friendly Learning Environment that gives value to the over-all well-being of individuals where every learner gets equal opportunities to develop holistically his/ her fullest possible potential. | Curriculum Guides, Teachers Manuals, Teacher's Guides focusing on children's rights Copy of the teacher-made Learning Materials utilized for instruction 3. CFS Assessment 4. CPP Committee/Action Plan S. DLP/ILP integrating CFSS and CPP | | | A learning environment that promotes the best interests of every learner where the child-rights approach is incorporated in the teaching and learning to set out the aim for holistic education. | All School School manage Personnel are teachers, non- well- oriented on teaching the CFSS and CPP. personnel and other stakehoders have ensured the best insterests of the learners,, their rights to safety, and holistic education are cared for properly. | anager, non- il and ers ers sof the their safety tic | The school has incorporated the child-rights approach in the delivery of the teaching and learning that exemplifies the aim of education for the holistic development of every learner. | | |

| Forged | partnership with | the stakeholders | s for information | sharing, | exchange of | expertise and | resources that | build learners | who are | accountbale for | their own | learning to | equip | themseleves | with KSA and | values in the | varving contexts | of the new | normal in | education. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Various | Initiatives to | develop self- | directed learners | are evident. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The school has | established | mechanisms in | the management directed learners for information | of resources for | curriculum | implementation. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| School mechanisms The school has | and various initaitives established | that forged | partnership with | | building learners who curriculum | are accountable for | their own learning and | development. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Learners Achievement/ | accomplishment report | 2. Progress/tracking report | 3, 5F 9 | 4. Learners Projects | 5. Portfolio (student's output) | o, instructional | 7 Tearning Modules/ | Contextualized Activity Sheets | across Learning Areas | 8. Community LCs | 9. Availability of Instructional | Managers/ Mobile Teachers | for ALS | 10. Bridging/Catch-up | Program/ADM | 11. Reading Center/ Sentro ng | Pagbasa | 12. Workshop Laboratories | | |
| a. A continuous | exchange of | information sharing | and exchange of | assume responsibility expertise and resurces | in school, home and | community for the | development of self- | directed learners. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.7. Learners are | equipped with | ledge, | | assume responsibility | accountability for | their own learning in | varying contexts of | | education. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation | |
|----------------------|--|---|
| | Rating/ Score | |
| | m | The mainstreamed programs and other Special Programs for basic education are efficiently implemented and delivered to help learners with special needs including the gifted and the talented. |
| Scoring Rubrics | 2 | Teachers have contributed to mainstreame the efficient other Special the mainstreamed basic education programs and programs and programs and programs and programs and programs and deliverectoresponding and deliverectores for help learners learning. The gifted and the talented. |
| | 4 | The school has identified, adopted, benchmarked efficient approaches and strategies for mainstreaming programs in education. |
| | Criteria | Efficient execution of the mainstreamed programs for basic education that blends learners of differing capabilites, the gifted and the talented and those with special needs. |
| | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | |
| y Analysis | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | |
| Documnetary Analysis | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | I. Implementation of mainstreamed program(Inclusive Education) SMEA (School Monitoring , Evaluation and Adjustment) of mainstreamed program Implementation 3. School Forms and Class Program A. Sample learning Resources/Output 5. Planning, Implementation, Evaluation and Accomplishment Reports for Special Programs (SPA,SPS,SPEL,STE, Science Curriculum ,SPED and etc. If applicable) 6. Enrichment programs implementated with measurable impact, output and outcomes. Outcomes. |
| | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | b. A learning program that is continuously improved, mainstreamed and relevant to emergent demands of learners with special needs, the gifted and the talented. |
| | Intended Outcomes | |

3. Accountability and Continuous Improvement

Principle of Accountability for Performance and Results Principle

A clear, transparent, inclusive, and responsive accountability system is in place, collaboratively developed by the school community, which monitors performance and acts appropriately on gaps and gains. the performance stakeholders to of multifaceted The school has accountability mechanism in improvement. accountability to practices for sustain best achieve desired continuous installed roles of The school has leadership and expansion of collaboration partnership, and shared community school and escalated goals. Forum, adopters execution of the The school has supporting the Stakeholders organized a implementation of the functional PTA/SGC, ESIP/AIP. collectively agreed by resposibilities in the ESIP/AIP/PAPs are the community stakeholders. Roles and 6. DRRM Implementation Plan 7. Hazard 2. Roles/Functions elaborated 8. Intervention roles and responsibilities of meeting conducted on the in the minutes of meeting Implementation Plan and 5. Plan Enrichment Programs 9. accomplishment report/ PTA/SGC/SSG/ SPG/CPP documentations of the alignment documents 3. Attendance sheets, minutes pictorials/ 1. RA 9155/ PPST/ Special Program and Evaluation CBL/Manual DOD/MOU Programs Mapping weaved resources for expansion of School learning to achieve partnership and shared leadership and land community sprouting local initiatives have An excalating desired goals. sustain best practices enlightened vision of multifaceted roles of commitment in the 3.1 An increasingly performance of stakeholders to for continuous improvement. a renewed Standard

Evaluation results if applicable,

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------|---|
| Oualitativ for L | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 2 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| Resiliency Program adaptive to varying access to provide excess to provide exceptions in the delivery of quality basic education. Support higher performance outcome performance outcome adaptives and adaptives are provided and adaptive and adaptive and adaptive are provided and adapt | An improved school and community service access to provide equal opportunities for learning, shared decision making with stakeholders, recognition of best practices, initiatives that support higher performance outcomes. | 1. Stakeholder's Recognition/Appreciation/ Certificate of Awards and Incentives 2. List of awardees (external and internal) 3. List of School Awards 4. School program initiatives 5. Photo documentation 6. Approved O/IPCRF 7. Ressillency Program 8. Succession Plan 9. R & R Program | | | An enabling mechanism for R & R that suits the need of the changing times and trends in basic education. | The school has The school has given recognition organized and to performing established a teachers, learners system for and stakeholders recognition of year. Stakeholders. Stakeholders. | The school has organized and established a system for recognition of school personnel, learners and stakeholders. | The school has sustained best practices for R & R and other support services that are adaptive to varrying contexts, trends in the educational system and in the delivery of relevanrt, inclusive and quality basic education. | | |

| The accountability The school has The school has The school has | system, processes, conducted designed/adopt installed | mechanism, and tools monitoring ed monitoring performance | are regularly activities for tools for review, | reviewed, teaching and performance evaluation, and | learning Progress review and adjusments to | and the status of evaluation of enhance | PAPs school PAPs, accountability | implementtion. academic system across all | progress of learning areas | learners and the and school |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. SMEA/Performance Review | Documents | 2. Documents showing | collaborative activities for | Continuous Improvement e.g. | program, survey tool, pictures | with caption | | | | |
| School community | stakeholders | continuously & | collaboratively review | & enhance | needs & demands of accountability | systems' processes, | mechanisms & tools. | | | |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 2 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 3.4.A progressive mechanisms, tools and processes at all levels of school governance have demonstrated the accomplishment of desired interventions over time. | School community stakeholders continuously & collaboratively review & enhance the accountability systems , processes, mechanisms & tools. | 1. 100% of the PAPs in the context of the ESIP are articulately & collaboratively reviewed by the school & other stakeholders. 2. Minutes of the review on the enhance accountability systems, processes, mechanisms & tools 3. PAPs monitoring and tracking documents | | | The accountability The sch system, processes, conduct mechanism, and tools on the are collaboratively account developed and system agreed. | The school has The school conducted review community has on the accountability developed and system and implemented mechanism. The school has colaboratively accountability system and mechanism. | The school community has colaboratively developed and implemented the accountability system and mechanism. | The school community has reguarly reviewed the accountability system and mechanism for enhancement of practice and for contiuous improvement at all levels of school governance. | | |

| rostered School- Community connections for an increasing resilience in systemic change that embraces shared governance as an optimal approach in blending resources for the continuous improvement in the achievement of the desired goals. |
|---|
| The results of performance assessment and evaluation, clientele feedback and satisfaction are utilized as basis for strategic action, TA provision and alignment of plans for PAPs implementation and improvement in the delivery of services. |
| ol ol |
| Fostered School and The school has Community designed/adopte connections and has institutionalized an enabling resilience processes to coping mechanisms, measure school tools and processes in performance. the utilization of performance assessment and evaluation results to achieve the desired goals. |
| 1. SMEA/Performance Review Documents (MPRE) 2. Minutes of the quarterly meetings, regular assembly of parents (online/face to face) 3. Technical Assistance (TA) Plan 4. Report on the TA provided. 5. CI Projects completed and its utilization and adoption 6. Performance assessment and evaluation results 7. Project W.A.T.C.H. Implementation Plan and Accomplishment Report that capsulized support to systemic change |
| 3.5. A systemic change in the existing school governance has moved towards shared decision making that institutionalizes resilience coping mechanisms, tools and processes for continuous improvement. |

4. Management of Resources

Principle Standard

Principle of Convergence to Harness Resources for Education Resources are collectively and judiciously mobilized and managed with transparency, effectiveness and efficiency.

| | | Documnetary Analysis | y Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 2 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 4.1. A caring community that represents a promising direction and support to address emerging issues and concerns to address learning gaps and generate resources needed in the effective delivery of instructions. | 4.1. A caring Collaboratives are community that sprouting in the management and promising direction and support to address emerging and equiopment for issues and concerns to teaching and learning address learning gaps that evolved a wide and generate spectrum of resources needed in stakeholder the effective delivery responsibilities of instructions. | 1. WFP, PPMP, APP & Annual Implementation Plan (AIP) 2. Cash Disbursement Register (CDR) & Cash Disbursement Journal (CDJ), Updated Transparency board in all generated funds 3. Physical inventory of facilities, materials, chairs fixtures, lab. Apparatus/ Enhanced Basic Education Information System-National School Building Inventory (EBEIS-NSB) 4. Minutes of the meeting reflecting the presentation of school budget to teachers and community during PTA meetings (opening, Mid-year, Year-end) 5. Updated Human Resource Profile 6. SF3 | | | The school has fostered accountability mechanism that is compliant to COA guidelines on school property management and has observed efficiency and transparency in the management and utilization of itsresources. | The school has accomplished and submitted annual inventory of school facilities and equipment. | The school has observed efficient use of its resources, facilities and equipment compliant to COA guidelines and policies. | The school has fostered mechanism on the efficent management of resources compliant to COA guidelines on property management and utilization, observed transparaency and maintained updated school inventory of supplies, facilities and equipment, buildings and learning resources acquired, allocated donated donated | | |
| 4.2. Continuous engagement of stakeholders in strategic planning, implementation of PAPs, monitoring, evaluation to upgrade component of best intervention in the New Normal. | Stakeholders collaborate to ensure timely and need- based planning and resource programming that support continuous implementation to address key phases of task and plan implementation. | 1. SMEA/ Performance Review documents 2. Minutes of the planning conferences, meetings with the stakeholders. 3. Intervention Program and Plan adjustment that suit the context and needs in the new normal. | | | A Strategic Plan that intensified resource mobilization to address issues and concerns in the delivery of basic education in the new normal. | The school has conducted involved the strategic planning internal and participated by external stakeholders. the strategic planning to address issue and concern the new nor the new nor stakeholders. | The school has involved the internal and external stakeholders in the strategic planning to address issues and concerns in the new normal. | The school has involved the stakeholders in the regular dialogue and strategic planning for resource mobilization, intervention and adjustment of ESIP targets for efficient delivery of basic | | |

| | Documnetary Analysis | alysis | | | *1 | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----|---|--|------------------|---|
| Joen Aech | doc Reference Documents (MOVs, evide and Mechanisms) prac abse | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 7 | m | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| 1. SMEA/ Perform Documents 2. Prompt submiss Liquidation report 3. Updated Report Utilization of Scho other Local Funds SSG, and Canteen) Logbook for incom outgoing commun S. Attendance reg activities 6. Report Inventory of Scho and Equipment | 1. SMEA/ Performance Review Documents 2. Prompt submission of Liquidation report 3. Updated Report on the Utilization of School MOOE and other Local Funds (e.g PTA, SSG, and Canteen) 4. Logbook for incoming and outgoing communications. 5. Attendance registry for the activities 6. Report on the Inventory of School Facilities and Equipment | | | A successful The school has implementation of the developed a resource management resource plan, efficiently management installed a system that is suits school context, responsive to sustained, replicated needs of the and benchmarked by community. other schools, individuals and group. | e 5 | The school has The school has successfully implemented its of the resource comm management developlan and has resour efficiently managinstalled its system, tools replica and processes. becuh others individ | The school has sustained the implementation of the community-developed resource management system, replicated and becohmarked by other schools, individulas and group. | | |

| 4.4. School Heads and School-Community | School-Community | 1. SMEA/ Performance | - | The Annual | The School | The results on The School | The School |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------|
| Learning Facilitators Collaboration are in | Collaboration are in | Review Documents | - | Implementation Plan Planning and | Planning and | the monitoring Priority | Priority |
| have delineated high place, effective and | place, effective and | 2. Other documents such as | œ | and the School PIAs | Project Teams | of PAPs | Improvemen |
| level of accountability appopriate | ppopriate | Brigada Eskwela | ro . | are reviewed and | collaboration in | implementation Areas are | Areas are |
| and resilliency o | collaboration of | Portfolio/Report | 2 | revisited, | the monitoring | are utilized for reviewed to | reviewed to |
| mechanism in the | school teams are | 3, Inventory of School | L. | management of | and evaluation of the plan | the plan | make signif |
| management, co | considered as key | resources for learning. | 2 | resources | PAPs | adjustments | impact in the |
| acquisition and | facets of addressing | 4. List and accomplishment | in . | implementation of | implementation, that addressed implementa | that addressed | implementa |
| utilization of resources issues in the | ssues in the | reports of school teams | 4 | PAPs are regularly | | emerging issues of PAPs and | of PAPs and |
| for learning that | management of | 5. Monitoring and | L . | monitored and | | and concerns. strengthenir | strengtheni |
| weaved the support of resources. | esources. | evaluation results | 9 | evaluated to note | | | on-going eff |
| Stakeholders. | | 6. documents on Project | S | significant impact on | | | supported b |
| | | W.A.T.C.H. implementation | S | school performance | | | stakeholder |
| | | and advocacy | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| The School | Priority | Improvement | Areas are | reviewed to | make significant | impact in the | implementation | of PAPs and in | strengthening | on-going efforts | supported by | stakeholders. | W H |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----|
| The results on | the monitoring | of PAPs | implementation | are utilized for | the plan | adjustments | that addressed | emerging issues | and concerns. | | | | |
| ie School | unning and | oject Teams | laboration in | e monitoring | d evaluation of the plan | Ps | plementation. | | | | | | |

| | | Documnetary Analysis | ry Analysis | | | | Scoring Rubrics | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------------|---|
| Intended Outcomes | Qualitative Indicators for Level III | Reference Documents (MOVs, and Mechanisms) | Other documentary evidence of best practices in the absence of the MOVs in the list | Documented Innovations/ Local Initiatives in the New Normal | Criteria | 1 | 2 | м | Rating/ Score | Observation/Discussion/ Recommendation |
| network and linkages, multifaceted and integrated partnerships are built to address complex needs in resource management. | An established system of partnership with stakeholders is sustained and carried out in daily activities to build essential support to SBM. | 1. MOA, MOU & resolutions 2. Deed of donation/ acceptance 3. List of donors, adopters, existing partners and potential partners and potential partners and potential partners, t. List of resources, projects acquired through donations, partnership and stakeholders engagement. 5. BRIGADA ESKWELA/ASP Report and Evaluation Results 5. Resource Mobilization Documents | | | Building capacity and The schr infrastruce that forged sustained school- partnerl community stakehol partnership and the stakeholder impleme engagement in raising of PAPs. the bar of SBM Level of Parctice. | The school has forged partnerhip with stakeholders in the implementation of PAPs. | The school has sustained apartnership with network and the LGUs at all linkages and levels, sroups engagement in and Individuals. of SBM Level of practice and the Continuous improvement outcomes. | The school has upgraded network and linkages and sustained stakeholder engagement in raising the bar of SBM Level of practice and the Continuous improvement of the learning outcomes. | | |

| os | np | rov | emer | it of Le | arning | Outcomes | 60 | % Ove | rall Tally | | Average/ | Score | Weighted Rate | Weighted Score | | |
|------------------------|-----|---------------|----------------|---|----------------------|--|------------------|--------|------------|--------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|-------------------|------|--|
| | İ | | | | | | Points | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Variance/ Percentage | Jesis | | 0.00 | | |
| Performance Indicators | | | ators | | Interval | 15 | | | | 0% | | 3% | 0,00 | | | |
| _ | _ | | | | % and Up | | 10 | | | | | - | | 0.00 | | |
| 1 | Enr | nrolment Rate | | 3 | % - 4% | | 5 | - | - | | 0% | 1 | 5% | 0,00 | | |
| 1 | | | | 2 | % and belo | JW | 15 | - | | | 0,0 | 1 | | - | | |
| 1 | | | | 1 | % - 2% | | 10 | - | | - | - | - | 201 | 0.00 | 1 | |
| 2 | Dr | op-O | ıt Rate | - | 3% and Up | | 15 | | | | 0% | | 3% | | | |
| | | | | | 95% - 100% | 6 | 10 | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | and the same | | | 90% - 94% | | 5 | | | - | | | 200/ | 0.00 | 1 | |
| 3 | Su | rviva | Rate | | 89% and b | elow | 15 | | | | 0% | | 3% | | | |
| | 1 | | _ | | 95% - 100 | % | 10 | | | | | | - | | | |
| | 1 | | Man D | ato. | 90% - 949 | | 5 | | | - | | | 3% | 0.00 | | |
| 4 | G | radu | ation R | | 89% and | | 15 | | | | 0% | | 0,0 | | | |
| | 1 | | | | 95% - 100 | 0% | 10 | | | | | - | | | | |
| 1 | | 20000 | ntion Rate | | 90% - 94 | % | 5 | | | | | | 3% | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 1 | 1 | recen | | | 89% and | helow | 15 | | | | 0% | | | | | |
| 1 | + | _ | | | 95% - 10 90% - 94 | 196 | 10 | | | | | | | 1500 | | |
| 1 | 6 | Prom | motion Rate | | 90% - 94 89% and | helow | 5 | | | | 000 | | 3% | 0.00 | | |
| 1 | - | 1 | | | 95% - 1 | | 15 | | | | 0% | | | | | |
| + | | | ompletion Rate | | 95% - 1 | 4% | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 7 | Com | | | | d below | 5 | | | | 000 | | 14% | 0.0 | 0 | |
| 1 | | HISCA | | | 80% ar | | 1 | 0 | | | 0% | • | | | | |
| 1 | | Por | ficiency | Level | 60% - | 79% | | 5 | | | | | | | - | |
| | 8 | (SF | 6) | 100000000 | | nd below | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15 | | | 0 | % | | | | |
| | Г | Nu | tritiona | Status | 180% a | nd Up | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | 79% | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | а | Normal | | 59% | and below | | 15 | | | (| 0% | | | 0.00 | |
| | 1 | - | | | 0%- | 10% | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | Wasted | | 11% | - 20% | | 5 | | | | | 9 | 5% | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | | 21% | and Up | | 15 | | | 1 | 0% | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | : Severely Wasted | | 10% | | 10 | | | | - | | | | |
| | 1 | 9 | c Seve | | | - 20% and Up | | 5 | | | | 0% | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | 0% | - 10% | | 10 | | | | 7.0 | | | | |
| | 1 | | 30300 | 227 | | 6-20% | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | d Obe | :5E | 219 | 6 and Up | - | 15 | | | | 0% | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 0% | -10% | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | e Ove | e Overweight | | 20% | | - | | ml | | | - | Test land | | |
| | | | | | 21 | 21% and Up 21% and Up (2017) a | | | | 111) | | | | | | |
| | | - | Readin | g Milesto | | ementary - 6% and Sec | condary - 69 | 6) | | | | 0% | | | | |
| | | 1 | A. Pro | Iciency in | English (El | % and above increment | | | | | | 070 | | | | |
| | | 1 | a Independent | | 30 | w_29% increment | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | 130 | 19% and below increment | | 15 | | | | 0% | | 6% | | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0% and above increment | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | h le | b Instructional | | osc.29% increment | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | b instru | | iger agency. | | 0% and below increment | | 15 | - | | | 0% | | | | |
| | | | c Frustration | | | 30% and above reduction | THE THE | 10 | - | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 20% - 29% reduction 10% and below reduction | | 5 | | | | | | | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | 10% and below records | nd below reduced | | | | | 1000 | | | | |
| | | 1 | O B. Li | teracy Level (Ele | el (Elemen | tary - 6% and Seconda | n | 15 | - | | | 0% | | | | |
| | | | | | 180% 01/2 | | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | a. Non-Re | Non-Read | ers | 50% - 79% reduction | on | 5 | | - | | 0% 3 | | 3% | | |
| | | | | and the same | | 49% and below reduction | non-readers | 15 | | | | | | 370 | 6 | |
| | | | - | b. Impact of the Imprograms for Le Special Needs | Intervention | 90% and above reduction of a | readers | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | b | | Learners will | 50% - 79% reduction of non- | non-teaders | 5 | | - | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | is. | 49% and below recommend | | 15 | | | | 0% | | | | |
| | | | H | Implementa | tion of | Full implementation | Alemalising | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervention | n programs for | Implementing and ope | grationalizing | 5 | _ | | | | | | | |

| A E | ding Milestones | (Elementary - 6% and Secondary - 6 | %) | | | |
|------|--|--|----|----|----|------|
| | Independent | 30% and above increment | 15 | | 6% | 0.00 |
| | | 20%-29% increment | 10 | 0% | | |
| a | Independent | 10% and below increment | 5 | | | |
| | Instructional | 30% and above increment | 15 | | | |
| ь | | 20%-29% increment | 10 | 0% | | |
| | | 10% and below increment | 5 | | | |
| | Frustration | 30% and above reduction | 15 | | | |
| c | | 20% - 29% reduction | 10 | 0% | | |
| | | 10% and below reduction | 5 | | | |
| В. І | Literacy Level (Eleme | ntary - 6% and Secondary - 6%) | | | | |
| | | 80% and above reduction | 15 | | | |
| a. | Non-Readers | 50% - 79% reduction | 10 | 0% | | |
| | TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE | 49% and below reduction | 5 | | | |
| | Impact of the reading | 80% and above reduction of non-readers | 15 | | 1 | 0.00 |
| b. | | 50% - 79% reduction of non-readers | 10 | 0% | 3% | |
| | intervention programs. | 49% and below reduction of non-readers | 5 | | | |
| | Implementation of Intervention programs for Learners with Special | Full implementation | 15 | | | |
| c. | | Implementing and operationalizing | 10 | 0% | | |
| | Needs with special | Planning and Resourcing | 5 | | | |

Total

60%

0.00 x 100

B. Improvement of Learning Outcomes

0.00