



Republic of the Philippines
Department of Education

DIGOS CITY DIVISION

Office of the Schools Division Superintendent

DIVISION MEMORANDUM

OSDS-2023- 076

To : Assistant Schools Division Superintendent
Division Chiefs and Unit Heads
Education Program Supervisors
Public Schools District Supervisors
Public Elementary and Secondary School Heads
Division Teaching and Non-Teaching Personnel
All Others Concerned

Subject : ANNOUNCEMENT OF VACANT POSITION FOR HEAD TEACHER
POSITIONS

Date : April 19, 2023

This Office announces the acceptance of applications for Head Teacher positions in the Schools Division Office of Digos City. The qualification standards are as follows:

| VACANCY | QUALIFICATION STANDARDS | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| POSITION TITLE | EDUCATION | TRAINING | EXPERIENCE | ELIGIBILITY |
| HEAD TEACHER II | Bachelor's degree in Elementary Education; or Bachelor's degree w/ 18 professional education units | 24 hours of relevant training | Head Teacher for 1 year; or Teacher-in-Charge for 1 year; or Teacher for 4 years | LET/PBET/ R.A.1080 (Teacher) |
| Plantilla Item No.: OSEC-DECSB-HTEACH2-750247-1998 SG: 15 Monthly Salary: ₱ 36,619.00 No. of Vacancy/ies: 1 Place of Assignment: IGPIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | | | | |



Address: Roxas cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City (8002)
Telephone Nos.: (082) 553-8375; (082) 553-8396

JOB SUMMARY:

Observes classes/teachers for effective and competent delivery of the curriculum. Assists the school heads in the planning of programs and activities. Coordinates with the subject area division supervisors in preparing teacher training and implements school policies and regulations.

| VACANCY | QUALIFICATION STANDARDS | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| POSITION TITLE | EDUCATION | TRAINING | EXPERIENCE | ELIGIBILITY |
| HEAD TEACHER III (Secondary Grades) | Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education; or Bachelor's degree w/ 18 professional education units with appropriate field of specialization | 24 hours of relevant training | Head Teacher for 2 years; or Teacher for 5 years | LET/PBET/R.A.1080 (Teacher) |

Plantilla Item No.: OSEC-DECSB-HTEACH3-750385-1998

SG: 16

Monthly Salary: ₱ 39,672.00

No. of Vacancy/ies: 1

Subject: MAPEH

Place of Assignment: DIGOS CITY NATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL

JOB SUMMARY:

Observes classes/teachers for effective and competent delivery of the curriculum. Assists the school heads in the planning of programs and activities. Coordinates with the subject area division supervisors in preparing teacher training and implements school policies and regulations.

| VACANCY | QUALIFICATION STANDARDS | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| POSITION TITLE | EDUCATION | TRAINING | EXPERIENCE | ELIGIBILITY |
| HEAD TEACHER IV (SECONDARY GRADES) | Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education; or Bachelor's degree w/ 18 | 24 hours of relevant training | Head Teacher for 3 years; or Master Teacher for 2 years | LET/PBET/R.A.1080 (Teacher) |



Address: Roxas cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City (8002)

Telephone Nos.: (082) 553-8375; (082) 553-8396

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | professional education units with appropriate field of specialization | | | |
| <p>Plantilla Item No.: OSEC-DECSB-HTEACH4-750006-2008 SG: 17 Monthly Salary: ₱ 43,030.00 No. of Vacancy/ies: 1 Subject: SCIENCE Place of Assignment: DIGOS CITY NATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL JOB SUMMARY:</p> <p>Observes classes/teachers for effective and competent delivery of the curriculum. Assists the school heads in the planning of programs and activities. Coordinates with the subject area division supervisors in preparing teacher training and implements school policies and regulations.</p> | | | | |

Qualified applicants to the **ABOVEMENTIONED POSITION** shall address their application letter to **MELANIE P. ESTACIO, Ph.D, CESO VI, OIC – SCHOOLS DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT**, and submit it with the pertinent documents to the **DIVISION OFFICE – RECORDS SECTION**.

Further, the application letter and following pertinent documents listed below shall be produced in TWO SETS (2 copies each) enclosed in ONE (1) white folder with the FOLDER TAG printed with full SURNAME, GIVEN NAME and MIDDLE NAME of the applicants with FONT (CALIBRI 20 BOLD) for 201 File purposes, to wit:

- a. Letter of intent addressed to the Head of Office;
- b. Duly accomplished PDS (CS Form No. 212, Revised 2017) with Work Experience Sheet, if applicable;
- c. Photocopy of valid and updated PRC License/ID, if applicable;
- d. Photocopy of Certificate of Eligibility/Rating, if applicable;
- e. Photocopy of scholastic/academic record, such as but not limited to Transcript of Records (TOR) and Diploma, including completion of graduate and post-graduate units/degrees, if available;
- f. Photocopy of Certificate/s of Training, if applicable;
- g. Photocopy of Certificate of Employment, Contract of Service, or duly signed Service Record, whichever is/are applicable;
- h. Photocopy of latest appointment, if applicable;
- i. Photocopy of the Performance Rating in the last rating period(s) covering one (1) year performance in the current/latest position prior to the deadline of submission, if applicable;
- j. Checklist of Requirements and Omnibus Sworn Statement on the Certification on the Authenticity and Veracity (CAV) of the documents submitted and Data Privacy Consent Form pursuant to RA No. 10173 (*Data Privacy Act of 2012*), using the attached form (*Annex C*), notarized by the authorized official; and



Address: Roxas cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City (8002)
Telephone Nos.: (082) 553-8375; (082) 553-8396

- k. Other documents as may be required by the HRMPSB for comparative assessment, including but not limited to:
 1. Means of Verification (MOVs) showing Outstanding Accomplishments, Application of Education, and Application of Learning and Development reckoned from the date of last issuance of appointment; and
 2. Photocopy of the Performance Rating obtained from the relevant work experience, if Performance Rating in Item 20(i) is not relevant to the position to be filled, if applicable; and
1. Screenshot of the automated e-mail response from the filled-up DepEd Digos City Job Application Form.

Applicants are required to fill up the electronic google form upon submission of application documents. Please access this link: <https://tinyurl.com/Deped-Digos-Application>.

All submission must be EAR-TAGGED for ease in the evaluation /review of the documents.

The Human Resource Management Personnel Selection Board of this office shall deliberate the applicant's documents pursuant to D.O. No. 007, series of 2023 (see attached Enclosure No. 3 to D.O. No. 007, s. 2023). A division memorandum will be issued for the names of the applicants who will qualify for the interview. Such memorandum will be posted in the DepEd Digos City website (www.depeddigoscity.org). At the same time, qualified applicants will be informed through e-mail and/or text message.

Original copy of documents must be presented during the conduct of evaluation of documents, thus, only those submitted documents will be given corresponding points.

The deadline for the submission of the applications for interested applicants shall be on **April 29, 2023**.

The deadline for the submission of the respective School Heads to the Schools Division Office shall be on **May 5, 2023**.

The schedule of the selection process is as follows:

| Date | Activities | Personnel Involved | Mode |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| April 19, 2023 – April 29, 2023 | Submission of application documents | Applicants School PSB School Heads | Face-to-Face |
| May 2, 2023 – May 5, 2023 | Submission of application documents together with School PSB's Summary of Assessment | School PSB School Head Records Section | Face-to-Face |
| May 8, 2023 – May 10, 2023 | Forwarding the transmittal of all application documents to HRMO for pre-assessment of the documents | SDS Personnel AO IV – HRMO II HRMPSB Secretariat | Face-to-Face |



Address: Roxas cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City (8002)
Telephone Nos.: (082) 553-8375; (082) 553-8396

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| May 11, 2023 – May 19, 2023 | Conduct of initial evaluation based on the CSC minimum Qualification Standards (QS) | AO IV – HRMO II HRMPSB Secretariat HR personnel | Face-to-Face |
| May 22, 2023 | Issuance of letter for disqualified applicants | HRMPSB Secretariat | Online & Face-to-Face |
| May 23, 2023 – June 1, 2023 | Issuance of memorandum on the conduct of written examination, open assessment, and interview of applicants | AO IV – HRMO II SDS | Online |
| June 2, 2023 | Conduct of written examination, open assessment, and interview of applicants | HRMPSB HRMPSB Secretariat | Face-to-Face |

This Office highly encourages all interested and qualified applicants, including persons with disability (PWD), members of indigenous communities, and those with diverse sexual orientation, gender identity, and expression (SOGIE), to apply.

Widest dissemination of this Memorandum is earnestly desired.

Melanie F. Estacio
MELANIE F. ESTACIO, Ph.D, CESO VI
 OIC – Schools Division Superintendent

IND Ed Schools Division of Digos City
 RECORDS SECTION

RELEASED
 27-102874
 DATE: APR 20 2023 TIME: 1:50pm
 BY: *[Signature]*

OSDS/ADMIN / HR/dbc



Address: Roxas cor. Lopez Jaena Street, Zone II, Digos City (8002)
Telephone Nos.: (082) 553-8375; (082) 553-8396

CHECKLIST OF REQUIREMENTS

Name of Applicant: _____ Application Code: _____
 Position Applied For: _____
 Office of the Position Applied For: _____
 Contact Number: _____
 Religion: _____
 Ethnicity: _____
 Person with Disability: Yes () No ()
 Solo Parent: Yes () No ()

| Basic Documentary Requirement | Status of Submission (To be filled-out by the applicant; Check if submitted) | Verification (To be filled-out by the HRMO/HR Office/ sub-committee) | |
|---|--|---|---------|
| | | Status of Submission (Check if complied) | Remarks |
| a. Letter of intent addressed to the Head of Office or highest human resource officer | | | |
| b. Duly accomplished Personal Data Sheet (PDS) (CS Form No. 212, Revised 2017) and Work Experience Sheet, if applicable | | | |
| c. Photocopy of valid and updated PRC License/ID, if applicable | | | |
| d. Photocopy of Certificate of Eligibility/Report of Rating, if applicable | | | |
| e. Photocopy of scholastic/academic record such as but not limited to Transcript of Records (TOR) and Diploma, including completion of graduate and post-graduate units/degrees, if available | | | |
| f. Photocopy of Certificate/s of Training, if applicable | | | |
| g. Photocopy of Certificate of Employment, Contract of Service, or duly signed Service Record, whichever is/are applicable | | | |
| h. Photocopy of latest appointment, if applicable | | | |
| i. Photocopy of the Performance Ratings in the last rating period(s) covering one (1) year performance prior to the deadline of submission, if applicable | | | |
| j. Checklist of Requirements and Omnibus Sworn Statement on the Certification on the Authenticity and Veracity (CAV) of the documents submitted and Data Privacy Consent Form | | | |
| k. Other documents as may be required for comparative assessment, such as but not limited to: Means of Verification (MOVs) showing Outstanding Accomplishments, Application of Education, and Application of Learning and Development reckoned from the date of last issuance of appointment | | | |
| Photocopy of Performance Rating obtained from the relevant work experience, if performance rating in Item (i) is not relevant to the position to be filled | | | |

Attested:

 Human Resource Management Officer

OMNIBUS SWORN STATEMENT

CERTIFICATION OF AUTHENTICITY AND VERACITY

I hereby certify that all information above are true and correct, and of my personal knowledge and belief, and the documents submitted herewith are original and/or certified true copies thereof.

DATA PRIVACY CONSENT

I hereby grant the Department of Education the right to collect and process my personal information as stated above, for purposes relevant to the recruitment, selection, and placement of personnel of the Department and for purposes of compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations being implemented by the Civil Service Commission.

 Name and Signature of Applicant

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ____ day of _____, year _____.

 Person Administering Oath

In consonance with Republic Act No. 8792 or the "Electronic Commerce Act of 2000", (e)lectronic documents shall have the legal effect, validity or enforceability as any other document or legal writing and a) (w)here the law requires a document to be in writing, that requirement is met by an electronic document if the said electronic document maintains its integrity and reliability and can be authenticated so as to be usable for subsequent reference.



CRITERIA AND POINT SYSTEM FOR HIRING AND PROMOTION TO SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION POSITIONS

1. The assessment for School Administration positions shall be based on the following criteria:
 - a. **Education** units and/or degree relevant to the position to be filled, exceeding the minimum requirements as defined in the CSC-approved QS;
 - b. **Training** hours relevant to the position to be filled, exceeding the minimum requirements as defined in the CSC-approved QS, acquired after the last promotion but within the last five (5) years;
 - c. **Experience** relevant to the position to be filled, exceeding the minimum requirements as defined in the CSC-approved QS;
 - d. **Performance** based on submitted performance rating covering one (1) year or 12 months performance in the current or previous job or position relevant to the position to be filled;
 - e. **Outstanding Accomplishments** acquired after the last promotion;
 - f. **Application of Education** acquired after the last promotion;
 - g. **Application of Learning and Development** acquired after the last promotion; and
 - h. **Potential** measured using other evaluative assessments.
2. The point system for evaluative assessment is detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Point System for Evaluative Assessment: School Administration Positions

| Criteria | Breakdown of Points |
|--|---------------------|
| a. Education | 10 |
| b. Training | 10 |
| c. Experience | 10 |
| d. Performance | 25 |
| e. Outstanding Accomplishments | 10 |
| f. Application of Education | 10 |
| g. Application of Learning and Development | 10 |
| h. Potential (Written Exam, BEI) | 15 |
| Total | 100 |

Rubrics for Computation of Points per Criterion

3. **Education, Training, and Experience (ETE).** The points for ETE, corresponding to the applicant's qualifications exceeding the QS, shall be computed using the Increments Table (Table 2.a, 2.b, 2.c) and the Rubrics for Computation of Points for ETE (Table 3). Only those qualifications that are relevant to the position to be filled shall be given points.

Table 2.a. Increments Table – Education

| Increment Level | Range | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| | From | To |
| 1 | Can Read and Write | Elementary Level Education |
| 2 | Elementary Graduate | Junior High School Level Education (K to 12) High School Level (Old curriculum) |
| 3 | Completed Junior High School (K to 12) | Senior High School Level Education (K to 12) |
| 4 | Senior High School Graduate (K to 12) High School Graduate (Old curriculum) | Less than 2 years of College |
| 5 | Completed 2 years in College | Less than a Bachelor's Degree but more than 2 years in College |
| 6 | Bachelor's Degree | Less than 6 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 7 | 6 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 9 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 8 | 9 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 12 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 9 | 12 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 15 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 10 | 15 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 18 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 11 | 18 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 21 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 12 | 21 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 24 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 13 | 24 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 27 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 14 | 27 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 30 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 15 | 30 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 33 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 16 | 33 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 36 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 17 | 36 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 39 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 18 | 39 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than 42 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 19 | 42 Units earned towards the completion of a Master's Degree | Less than Complete Academic Requirements completed towards the completion of a Master's Degree |
| 20 | Complete Academic Requirements completed towards a Master's Degree | Less than an awarded Master's Degree |
| 21 | Master's Degree | Less than 3 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 22 | 3 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 6 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 23 | 6 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 9 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 24 | 9 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 12 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 25 | 12 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 15 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 26 | 15 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 18 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 27 | 18 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 21 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 28 | 21 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than 24 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 29 | 24 Units earned towards the completion of a Doctorate | Less than Complete Academic Requirements completed towards the completion of a Doctorate |
| 30 | Complete Academic Requirements completed towards a Doctorate | Less than an awarded Doctorate |
| 31 | Doctorate | |

Table 2.b. Increments Table – Training

| Increment Level | Range | |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|
| | From | To |
| 1 | 0 hours | Less than 8 hours |
| 2 | 8 hours | Less than 16 hours |
| 3 | 16 hours | Less than 24 hours |
| 4 | 24 hours | Less than 32 hours |
| 5 | 32 hours | Less than 40 hours |
| 6 | 40 hours | Less than 48 hours |
| 7 | 48 hours | Less than 56 hours |
| 8 | 56 hours | Less than 64 hours |
| 9 | 64 hours | Less than 72 hours |
| 10 | 72 hours | Less than 80 hours |
| 11 | 80 hours | Less than 88 hours |
| 12 | 88 hours | Less than 96 hours |
| 13 | 96 hours | Less than 104 hours |
| 14 | 104 hours | Less than 112 hours |
| 15 | 112 hours | Less than 120 hours |
| 16 | 120 hours | Less than 128 hours |
| 17 | 128 hours | Less than 136 hours |
| 18 | 136 hours | Less than 144 hours |
| 19 | 144 hours | Less than 152 hours |
| 20 | 152 hours | Less than 160 hours |
| 21 | 160 hours | Less than 168 hours |
| 22 | 168 hours | Less than 176 hours |
| 23 | 176 hours | Less than 184 hours |
| 24 | 184 hours | Less than 192 hours |
| 25 | 192 hours | Less than 200 hours |
| 26 | 200 hours | Less than 208 hours |
| 27 | 208 hours | Less than 216 hours |
| 28 | 216 hours | Less than 224 hours |
| 29 | 224 hours | Less than 232 hours |
| 30 | 232 hours | Less than 240 hours |
| 31 | 240 hours | or more |

Table 2.c. Increments Table – Experience

| Increment Level | Range | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| | From | To |
| 1 | None | Less than 6 months |
| 2 | 6 months | Less than 1 year |
| 3 | 1 year | Less than 1 year 6 months |
| 4 | 1 year 6 months | Less than 2 years |
| 5 | 2 years | Less than 2 years 6 months |
| 6 | 2 years 6 months | Less than 3 years |
| 7 | 3 years | Less than 3 years 6 months |
| 8 | 3 years 6 months | Less than 4 years |
| 9 | 4 years | Less than 4 years 6 months |
| 10 | 4 years 6 months | Less than 5 years |
| 11 | 5 years | Less than 5 years 6 months |
| 12 | 5 years 6 months | Less than 6 years |
| 13 | 6 years | Less than 6 years 6 months |
| 14 | 6 years 6 months | Less than 7 years |
| 15 | 7 years | Less than 7 years 6 months |
| 16 | 7 years 6 months | Less than 8 years |
| 17 | 8 years | Less than 8 years 6 months |
| 18 | 8 years 6 months | Less than 9 years |
| 19 | 9 years | Less than 9 years 6 months |
| 20 | 9 years 6 months | Less than 10 years |
| 21 | 10 years | Less than 10 years 6 months |
| 22 | 10 years 6 months | Less than 11 years |
| 23 | 11 years | Less than 11 years 6 months |
| 24 | 11 years 6 months | Less than 12 years |
| 25 | 12 years | Less than 12 years 6 months |
| 26 | 12 years 6 months | Less than 13 years |
| 27 | 13 years | Less than 13 years 6 months |
| 28 | 13 years 6 months | Less than 14 years |
| 29 | 14 years | Less than 14 years 6 months |
| 30 | 14 years 6 months | Less than 15 years |
| 31 | 15 years | or more |

Table 3. Rubrics for Computation of Points for Education, Training, and Experience

| Weight Allocation | Education | | Training | | Experience | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| | Increments from minimum QS | Points | Increments from minimum QS | Points | Increments from minimum QS | Points |
| Education: 10 points Training: 10 points Experience: 10 points | 10 or more increments | 10 | 10 or more increments | 10 | 10 or more increments | 10 |
| | 8-9 increments | 8 | 8-9 increments | 8 | 8-9 increments | 8 |
| | 6-7 increments | 6 | 6-7 increments | 6 | 6-7 increments | 6 |
| | 4-5 increments | 4 | 4-5 increments | 4 | 4-5 increments | 4 |
| | 2-3 increments | 2 | 2-3 increments | 2 | 2-3 increments | 2 |

Illustrative example:

Vacant position: **School Principal I (Secondary School) – SG 19**

Qualification Standards per CSC-approved QS

- Education : Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education; or Bachelor's degree with 18 professional education units
- Training : 40 hours of relevant training
- Experience : Head Teacher for 1 year; or Teacher-in-Charge for 2 years; or Master Teacher for 2 years; or Teacher for 5 years.

The date of HRMPSB assessment/ Open Ranking System: **September 20, 2022**

- a. Based on the minimum QS of the position to be filled, the HRMPSB shall determine the baseline level for computing the points for ETE using the Increments Table as shown in Table 2.a, 2.b, and 2.c.

| Minimum requirement per CSC-approved Qualification Standards for School Principal I (Secondary School) – SG 19 | | Corresponding Level based on Increments Table |
|--|--|---|
| Education | Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education; or Bachelor's degree with 18 professional education units | Level 6 (based on Table 2.a) |
| Training | 40 hours relevant training | Level 6 (based on Table 2.b) |
| Experience | Head Teacher for 1 year; or Teacher-in-Charge for 2 years; or Master Teacher for 2 years; or Teacher for 5 years | Level 3 for HT Level 5 for TIC and MT Level 11 for Teacher (based on Table 2.c) |

For purposes of determining the baseline level for Education, any professional or specialization units as may be required in the CSC-approved QS in addition to non-Education degree shall be considered equivalent to a Bachelor's degree in Education (e.g. Bachelor's degree plus 18 professional units in Education with appropriate major = Level 6 based on Table 2.a). Additional professional and specialization units taken to earn the equivalent Education degree (i.e., BEEd, BSEd) shall not correspond to units towards the completion of a Master's degree.

- b. After determining the baseline level, the HRMPSB shall compute for the increments of the applicant's actual qualifications based on the submitted documentary requirements. *Increment* shall refer to the difference between the applicant's actual qualification level and the corresponding level of the minimum (baseline) QS requirement of the position to be filled.

Only qualifications that are relevant to the position to be filled and which exceed the minimum (baseline) QS requirements of the position shall be given corresponding points in the computation of increments.

For positions with multiple QS requirement for Experience (e.g. HT for 1 year, MT for 2 years, TIC for 2 years, Teacher for 5 years), the HRMP SB shall identify the relevant experience **with the highest increment incurred**. This shall be the basis in determining the final score of the applicant for the Experience component.

Illustrative example:

Computation of increments based on actual Education qualification of Applicant A:

| Education Qualification of Applicant A | Increments from minimum (or baseline) QS requirements using Increments Table 2.a (Education) |
|--|---|
| Master of Arts in Education (MAEd) | Using Table 2.a, the corresponding level of Applicant A's Education qualification (Master's degree in Public Administration) is at Level 21 . The number of increments for Applicant A's Education qualifications shall be computed by subtracting the minimum QS level (Level 6) from the applicant's qualification level (Level 21), as illustrated below: Applicant's Educ level - QS level = Increment 21 - 6 = 15 increments |

Computation of Increments based on actual Training qualification of Applicant A:

| Training Qualification of Applicant A | Increments from minimum (or baseline) QS requirements using Increments Table 2.b (Training) |
|--|---|
| FLEX2LEAD for School Heads, May 24-June 04, 2021, 80 hrs. Capability Building Program for Teachers and School Heads organized by NEAP, March 1-5, 2021, 40 hrs. Division Training on the Conduct of Research (December 7-10, 2020) 32 hours Division Rollout of PFST-RPMS for Teachers (August 15-16, 2018) 16 hours National Assembly of Education: Hold # in Trust: Leading in the Time of Industry (September 25-27, 2017) 24 hours | In the case of Applicant A, all training/L&D interventions declared in his FDS are considered relevant to the School Principal I position. However, the "National Assembly of Education: Hold # in Trust: Leading in the Time of Industry" will not be credited for the computation of increments since it was earned more than 5 years from the date of HRMP SB Assessment. Applicant A's cumulative hours of relevant training/L&D shall be 152 hours. Using Table 2.b, the corresponding level of Applicant A's Training qualification (152 hours) is at Level 20 . The number of increments for Applicant A's Training qualifications shall be computed by subtracting the minimum QS level (Level 6) from the applicant's qualification level (Level 20), as illustrated below: Applicant's Training level - QS level = Increment 20 - 6 = 14 increments Note: Applicant A's last promotion as Head Teacher III: November 26, 2013 The date of HRMP SB assessment/Open Ranking: September 20, 2022 |

Computation of Increments based on actual Experience qualification of Applicant A:

| Experience Qualification of Applicant A | Increments from minimum (or baseline) QS requirements using Increments Table 2.c (Experience) |
|---|--|
| Head Teacher III (August 01, 2019 to present) Head Teacher I (March 05, 2013 to July 31, 2019) | Only those experience relevant to the position to be filled shall be considered in the computation of increments. Relevant experience shall be reckoned from the date of first day of service. In the case of Applicant A, the relevant experiences are the following: a. Head Teacher III (from August 01, 2019 to present*) is 3 years and 1 month. b. Head Teacher I (from March 05, 2013 to July 31, 2019) is 2 years and 5 months. Using Table 2.c, the corresponding level of Applicant A's Experience qualification exclusively as Head Teacher (10 years and 5 months) is at Level 21 . The number of increments for Applicant A's Experience qualifications shall be computed by subtracting the minimum QS level of 1 year as Head Teacher (Level 3) from the applicant's qualification level (Level 21), as illustrated below: Applicant's Experience level - QS level = Increment 21 - 3 = 18 increments |

| | |
|---|--|
| Teacher III (March 23, 2010 to March 04, 2013) | c. Teacher I to III (from September 10, 2003 to March 03, 2011) is 2 years and 5 months. |
| Teacher II (June 21, 2007 to March 22, 2010) | Using Table 2.c, the corresponding level of Applicant A's Experience qualification exclusively as Teacher I, II, and III (9 years and 5 months) is at Level 19 . |
| Teacher I (September 10, 2003 to June 20, 2007) | The number of increments for Applicant A's Experience qualifications shall be computed by subtracting the minimum QS level of Teacher for 5 years (Level 13) from the applicant's qualification level (Level 19), as illustrated below: Applicant's Experience level - QS level = Increment 19 - 11 = 8 increments |

*reckoning date: Date of HRMP SB Assessment/Open Ranking System

c. After computing the number of increments from the minimum (baseline) QS requirement, the corresponding points earned by the applicant for ETE shall be determined using Table 3 (Rubrics for Computation of Points for Education, Training, and Experience).

Illustrative example:

Using the applicable rubrics for the School Administration as shown in Table 3 and based on the number of increments earned by Applicant A, the computation of points for ETE is as follows:

| Qualification of the Applicant A | | | Computation of Points based on Incremental Scales Table 3 ETE Rubrics | | | Total number of points for ETE |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Education | Training | Experience | Education | Training | Experience | |
| Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education | FLEX2LEAD for School Heads, May 24-June 04, 2021, 80 hrs. | Head Teacher III (November 25, 2015 to present) | 15 increments | 14 increments | 19 increments | 30 points |
| Master's degree in Education (MAEd) | Capability Building Program for Teachers and School Heads organized by NEAP, March 1-5, 2021, 40 hrs. | Head Teacher I (March 05, 2013 to November 24, 2015) Teacher III (March 23, 2010 to March 04, 2013) Teacher II (June 21, 2007 to March 22, 2010) Teacher I (September 10, 2003 to June 20, 2007) | Based on Table 3: 10 or more increments = 10 points (out of 10) *Applicant A exceeds the minimum QS of Secondary School Principal of either Bachelor's degree in Secondary Education; or Bachelor's degree with 18 professional education units | Based on Table 3: 10 or more increments = 10 points (out of 10) *Applicant A exceeds the minimum QS of 4 hours relevant training *Applicant A earns the maximum 10 points allotted for Training | Note: 19 increments for HT experience; and 8 increments for Teaching experience. Applicant A's qualification as HT incurs the highest increment; therefore it will be the basis for computing final score for Experience component. Based on Table 3: 10 or more increments = 10 points (out of 10) *Applicant A exceeds the minimum QS as Head Teacher and further incurred 16 increments for this experience. *Applicant A earns the maximum 10 points allotted for Experience | |

- d. Meeting the minimum (baseline) QS requirements for Education, Training, and Experience shall be given zero (0) points.
- e. The following general guidelines shall be observed in giving corresponding points to relevant Education, Training, and Experience qualifications:

- i. Education units and/or degrees in multiple or different majors may be given corresponding points on a cumulative basis; provided, that the units and/or degrees earned are relevant to the position applied for; provided further, that the subjects completed are not duplicated.
- ii. Consistent with the provisions of the CSC ORAOHRA, units and/or degrees of Doctor of Medicine from a CHED-recognized institution may be considered master's units and/or degree for purposes of giving points, except for positions that involve practice of profession covered by board laws.
- iii. Consistent with Legal Education Board (LEB) Resolution No. 406, s. 2019, Bachelor of Laws (LLB.) or Juris Doctor (J.D.) units and/or degree earned from law schools recognized or supervised by the LEB and its predecessor regulatory agencies shall be considered as equivalent to professional doctorate units/degrees in other non-law academic disciplines for purposes of giving points, except for positions that involve practice of profession covered by the rules governing the bar, subject to further clarificatory guidelines as may be issued by the LEB.
- iv. Relevant training hours earned from digital/virtual/online learning may be considered, subject to the conditions prescribed in CSC Memorandum Circular (MC) No. 3, s. 2021 (*General Guidelines on Digital/Online Learning in the Public Sector*).
- v. Relevant experience gained from part-time work of at least four (4) hours per day may be considered; provided, that the appropriate Certificate of Employment is submitted with details on the actual number of hours rendered. For purposes of giving points, the months or years of relevant experience submitted shall be transmuted to the equivalent months or years of experience based on the CSC-required eight (8)-hour per day workday.
- vi. Relevant Experience gained from abroad or outside the Philippines may be considered provided that the applicant submits a Certificate of Employment. Those documentary requirements written in languages other than English or Filipino shall be accompanied by a complete English translation.
- f. Applicable provisions under Rule VIII Part I to IV of the CSC ORAOHRA shall apply in the appreciation of relevant Education, Training, and Experience qualifications and giving of points to ETE credentials.

4. **Performance.** Performance refers to the assessment of how tasks, duties, and responsibilities are carried out or accomplished by the applicant as evidenced by performance rating document or other means of verification. The performance rating obtained in the current or previous job or position that is relevant to the position to be filled shall be used for purposes of giving points for performance.

$$\text{Points}_{\text{(Performance)}} = x/5 * \text{WA}_{\text{(Performance)}}$$

Where:

x = Performance Rating

5 = Highest Possible PR in DepEd RPMS

WA = Weight Allocation for Performance
(25 points)

Illustrative example:

Vacant Position:

School Principal I - SG 19

$x = 4.356$

WA = 25

$$\text{Points}_{\text{(Performance)}} = 4.356/5 * 25 = 21.78$$

a. **Internal applicants.**

The performance rating required for internal applicants shall be the rating derived from the Results-Based Performance Management System (RPMS) Individual Performance Commitment and Review (IPCR) Form obtained from the applicant's current or previous job or position that is relevant to the position to be filled.

However, as a mandatory requirement, the applicant shall also be required to submit a performance rating of at least Very Satisfactory (VS) in the last rating period prior to the date of assessment or screening shall be required, except for promotion from first to second level entry positions where the required performance rating is at least Satisfactory (S).

An official or employee who is on official leave of absence, for reasons such as maternity leave, local or foreign scholarship, training grant, or other CSC-authorized official leaves, may be considered for promotion. In such cases, a performance rating in the last rating period prior to the leave of absence shall be required.

The performance rating prior to the reclassification of the position shall be considered as performance rating in the reclassified position for purposes of promotion, if applicable.

b. **External applicants.**

For external applicants whose performance is measured using a five (5)-level adjectival performance rating scale, the midpoint value of the RPMS rating (Table 4) equivalent to the adjectival rating shall be used as the applicant's performance rating (x). The Certificate of Rating must be supported with the Performance Evaluation Tool.

Table 4. Midpoint Value of the RPMS Rating

| RPMS Rating Scale | Midpoint Value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Outstanding 4.500-5.000 | 4.75 |
| Very Satisfactory 3.500-4.499 | 3.995 |
| Satisfactory 2.500-3.499 | 2.995 |
| Unsatisfactory 1.500-2.499 | 1.995 |
| Poor Below 1.499 | 0.7495 |

Illustrative example:

Vacant position:
School Principal I – SG 19
 Adjectival Performance Rating Scale in the previous job:
 Below Expectation; Needs Improvement; Good; Strong; Role Model

Performance rating of the applicant: Strong

$x = \text{RPMS midpoint value (Very Satisfactory)} \text{ equivalent to Performance Rating} = 3.995$
 $WA = 25$

$\text{Points}_{\text{performance}} = 3.995/5 * 25 = 19.975$

For external applicants whose performance is measured using other numerical or adjectival rating systems with scales that are not aligned with the five (5)-point rating scale of the RPMS, the HRMPSB shall develop a system that transmutes the performance rating to the corresponding points comparable to the existing rubrics of the RPMS.

External applicant/s to vacant positions with experience requirement shall submit performance rating/s from previous work that is relevant to the position to be filled. Non-submission of performance rating/s for any reason gets a zero score for Performance criterion. No proxy measure shall be considered in the absence of the applicable performance rating.

5. **Outstanding Accomplishments.** Outstanding Accomplishments refer to meritorious contributions of an applicant, such as ideas, inventions, or discoveries which were duly recognized by an authorized body. These must have a direct link to the KRAs of the applicant's current or previous position. Outstanding accomplishments must have led to positive results in their workplace through efficiency in operation, increased production, improved working standards, and/or savings in government spending.

Table 5 below enumerates the components of Outstanding Accomplishments and the corresponding maximum points for each component.

Table 5. Components of Outstanding Accomplishments

| Component | Points(Outstanding Accomplishments) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Awards and Recognition | 7 points |
| Research and Innovation | 4 points |
| Subject Matter Expert / Membership in National Technical Working Groups (TWGs) or Committees | 3 points |
| Resource Speakership / Learning Facilitation | 2 points |
| NEAP Accredited Learning Facilitator | 2 points |

The points allocation in Table 5 shall serve as the maximum or ceiling points that may be earned for each component. The points earned from each component are cumulative to determine the total points for Outstanding Accomplishments; but not to exceed the maximum points or weight allocation for Outstanding Accomplishments as stipulated in Table 1 (Point System for Evaluative Assessment for School Administration Positions).

Only those outstanding accomplishments acquired or earned after the last promotion shall be considered eligible to be given points.

National level individual awards acquired from a thorough search process and given by reputable award giving bodies, such as CSC, Metrobank, National Economic Development Authority (NEDA), Development Academy of the Philippines (DAP), DepEd, etc., shall be given maximum points in Outstanding Accomplishments (i.e. 10 points).

The details of each component of Outstanding Accomplishments, including the MOVs required and rubrics for giving points, are as follows:

a. **Awards and Recognition.** This may refer to outstanding employee awards and/or awards as trainer/coach.

a.1. *Outstanding Employee Award*

Means of verification:

- A. Any issuance, memorandum or document showing the Criteria for the Search; and
- B. Certificate of Recognition/Merit.

Rubrics:

| Level | Points(Outstanding Employee Award) |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Applicants from external institution | |
| Organizational Level Search or Higher | 4 points |
| Local Office Search | 2 points |
| Applicants from central office | |
| National Level Search or Higher | 4 points |
| Central Office Search | 2 points |
| Applicants from regional office | |
| National Level Search or Higher | 4 points |
| Regional Office Search | 2 points |
| Applicants from schools division office | |
| Regional Level Search or Higher | 4 points |
| Division/Provincial/City Level Search | 2 points |

| Applicants from schools | |
|---|----------|
| Division Level Search or Higher | 4 points |
| School/Municipality/District Level Search | 2 points |

a.2. *Awards as Trainer/Coach.* This refers to awards gained by applicants as trainer/coach in any academic or non-academic competitions/activities.

Means of verification:

- A. Any issuance or memorandum designating the applicant as trainer/coach; and
- B. Certificate of Recognition/Appreciation as Trainer/Coach of a Winning Contestant/Event/Activity.

Rubrics:

| Level | Points_(Trainer/Coach Award) |
|---|---|
| Champion or Highest Placer in the National Level | 3 points |
| Champion or Highest Placer in the Regional Level | 2 points |
| Champion or Highest Placer in the Division/Provincial Level | 1 point |

For multiple awards received from the same award giving body and/or award category that are conducted in series or progressive manner, only the highest-level award shall be considered (e.g. NSPC winning coach at the division, regional, national level). Similarly, only the highest award shall be given points in cases where applicants submit multiple awards from different award giving bodies.

b. **Research and Innovation**

Means of verification:

- A. Proposal duly approved by the Head of Office or the designated Research Committee per DO No. 16, s. 2017
- B. Accomplishment Report verified by the Head of Office
- C. Certification of utilization of the innovation or research, within the school/office duly signed by the Head of Office
- D. Certification of adoption of the innovation or research by another school/office duly signed by the Head of Office
- E. Proof of citation by other researchers (whose study/research, whether published or unpublished, is likewise approved by authorized body) of the concept/s developed in the research.

Rubrics:

| MOVs Submitted | Points_(Innovation/Research) |
|-----------------------|---|
| A, B, C & D | 4 points |
| A, B, C & E | 4 points |
| Only A, B & C | 3 points |
| Only A & B | 2 points |
| Only A | 1 point |

For collaborative research studies/innovations, the total points shall be divided by the number of authors/researchers indicated in the copyright page.

- c. **Subject Matter Expert / Membership in National TWGs or Committees.** This shall apply to applicants who have been chosen and requested to use their technical knowledge, skills, and experience to develop an output, or work towards an outcome in the national level. This may include but not limited to the development and/or validation of framework, models, policies, and learning materials. Subject matter expertise or membership in NTWGs or Committees must, however, be relevant to the position being applied for in order to be given points.

Means of verification:

- A. Issuance/Memorandum showing the membership in NTWG or Committees;
- B. Certificate of Participation or Attendance; and
- C. Output/Adoption by the organization/DepEd.

Rubrics:

| MOVs Submitted | Points_(MEM) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| ALL MOVs | 3 points |
| Only A & B | 2 points |

- d. **Resource Speakership / Learning Facilitation.** This shall apply to applicants who have been requested and invited to share their knowledge and expertise on specific subject matter/s. This may include applicants who served as a Resource Speaker, Resource Person, Trainer, and/or Learning Facilitator in seminars, training programs, conferences, convention, congress, forums, learning action cells (LAC) sessions, etc.

Means of verification (All listed MOVs shall be submitted):

- A. Issuance/Memorandum/Invitation/Training Matrix;
- B. Certificate of Recognition/Merit/Commendation/Appreciation; and;
- C. Slide deck/s used and/or Session guide/s.

Rubrics:

| Level | Points_(Resource Speakership/Learning Facilitation) |
|--|--|
| Applicants from external institution | |
| Organizational Level Speakership or Higher | 2 points |
| Local Office Level Speakership | 1 point |
| Applicants from central office | |
| National Level Speakership or Higher | 2 points |
| Central Office Level Speakership | 1 point |
| Applicants from regional office | |
| National Level Speakership or Higher | 2 points |
| Regional Office Speakership | 1 point |
| Applicants from schools division office | |
| Regional Level Speakership or Higher | 2 points |
| Division/Provincial/City Level Speakership | 1 point |
| Applicants from schools | |
| Division Level Speakership or Higher | 2 points |
| School/Municipality/District Speakership | 1 point |

- e. **NEAP Accredited Learning Facilitator.** This shall apply to applicants who have been given accreditation as Learning Facilitator by the National Educator Academy of the Philippines (NEAP).

Means of verification:

- A. Certificate of Recognition as Learning Facilitator issued by NEAP Regional Office
- B. Certificate of Recognition as Learning Facilitator issued by NEAP Central Office

Rubrics:

| Level | Points ^(NEAP Learning Facilitator) |
|------------------------------|---|
| Accredited National Assessor | 2 points |
| Accredited National Trainer | 1.5 points |
| Accredited Regional Trainer | 1 point |

Illustrative example:

Applicant Chico is applying for a School Principal I (SG-19) within SDO Baguio City. He is currently a Master Teacher I in Baguio City National High School appointed in October 2013. For the purpose of computing his Outstanding Accomplishments, he submitted the following MOVs:

| Outstanding Accomplishments | Points based on Rubric | HRMPSB Remarks |
|---|------------------------|---|
| Awards and Recognition: <i>Outstanding Employee Awards 2017 in Baguio National High School (no MOVs submitted)</i> | 2 points | Not credited due to non-submission of required MOVs |
| <i>Winning Coach (1st Prize Photojournalism) in 2015 RSPC (complete MOVs submitted)</i> | 2 points | Credited |
| <i>Winning Coach (1st Prize Sayawit) in 2016 Division Edukasyon sa Paggapakatao Celebration (complete MOVs submitted)</i> | 1 point | Credited |
| Resource Speakership/Learning Facilitation: <i>Certificate of Recognition as Resource Speaker in 2018 Division Training on Broadcasting (complete MOVs submitted)</i> | 1 point | Credited |

Applicant Chico gets three (3) points for his Awards as Trainer/Coach earned in 2015 and 2016, and one (1) point for his Resource Speakership in 2018. However, zero (0) or no point is given to under Outstanding Employee Award due to non-submission of the required MOVs. Chico gets a total of four (4) points in Outstanding Accomplishments.

6. Application of Education. Application of education is the contribution made by an applicant to their workplace as a result of their learnings from their education degree/s or units earned, such as but not limited to applied concepts, processes, and skills that are relevant to the position to be filled. Points shall be given to an applicant who has successfully applied the learnings gained from said higher education units or degree/s earned. The application of education must have led to significant positive results in the applicant's current or previous work.

Higher premium shall be given to an application of education or intervention made by the applicant that is relevant and applicable to the position to be filled.

Relevant intervention is described as the intervention that is directly applicable to the functional unit where the position applied for is lodged. An intervention is described to be *applicable* if it can be used in the operations of the functional unit based on its office mandates in the official DepEd Office Functions or Office Orders for the creation of the functional unit.

If the intervention made by the applicant does not meet the criteria to be *Relevant*, then said intervention shall be considered and be given corresponding points using the rubrics for *Not Relevant*.

Means of verification:

- A. Action Plan approved by the Head of Office
- B. Accomplishment Report verified by the Head of Office
- C. Certification of the utilization/adoption signed by the Head of Office

Rubrics:

| MOVs Submitted | Points ^(Application of Education) | |
|----------------|--|--------------|
| | Relevant | Not Relevant |
| ALL MOVs | 10 points | 5 points |
| Only A & B | 7 points | 3 points |
| Only A | 5 points | 1 point |

7. Application of Learning and Development (L&D). Application of L&D is a proven success of the learnings gained from the human resource development (HRD) interventions done/attended by the applicant which must have led to significant positive results in their current or previous work.

Higher premium shall be given to an application of L&D or intervention made by the applicant that is relevant and applicable to the position to be filled. The definition of *relevant intervention* as stipulated in Item 6 of this Order shall apply.

Means of verification

- A. Certificate of Training or Certification on any applicable L&D intervention acquired that is aligned with the Individual Development Plan (IDP); for external applicants, a certification from HR stating that the L&D intervention is aligned with the core tasks of the applicant in their current or previous position shall be required;
- B. Action Plan/Re-entry Action Plan (REAP)/Job Embedded Learning (JEL)/ Impact Project applying the learnings from the L&D intervention done/attended, duly approved by the Head of Office;
- C. Accomplishment Report together with a General Certification that the L&D intervention was used/adopted by the office at the local level;

- D. Accomplishment Report together with a General Certification that the L&D intervention was used/adopted by a different office at the local/higher level.

Rubrics:

| MOVs Submitted | Points _(Application of L&D) | |
|----------------|--|--------------|
| | Relevant | Not Relevant |
| ALL MOVs | 10 points | 5 points |
| Only A, B, & C | 7 points | 3 points |
| Only A & B | 5 points | 1 point |

8. **Potential.** Potential refers to the capacity and ability of an applicant to assume the duties and responsibilities of the position to be filled, and those higher positions that are more technical in nature. It may be measured through any or all of the following:

| Component | Points _(Potential) | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Appointment to Entry Level School Principal positions (SP I, SSP I, ASP II) | Appointment to Other and Higher School Head positions |
| Written Examinations (WE) | 10 points | 5 points |
| Behavioural Events Interview (BEI) | 5 points | 10 points |

- a. **Written Examination** refers to the standardized examination which measures the knowledge, language proficiency, ability to present ideas, judgment and leadership ability of the applicant. The test and evaluation rubrics appropriate to the school administration positions must be developed by subject matter experts as requested by the HRMPSB. *Subject matter experts* refer to individuals internal or external to the school where the vacancy exists, or to the Department, those who have working knowledge of the specific competencies required by the position to be filled.

$$\text{Points}_{(WE)} = x/TI * WA_{(WE)}$$

Where:

x = Score/rating in written examination in percentage scale
 TI = Total number of test items or highest possible score
 WA = Weight Allocation for WE

For the purpose of hiring and appointment to **entry-level school principal positions**¹, the applicant's score in the Principal's Test / National Qualifying Examination for School Heads (NQESH) or a similar standardized examination nationally administered by DepEd shall be the basis for scoring the component on Written Examination (10 points).

¹Entry-level school principal positions include School Principal I (SP I), Special School Principal I (SSP I) in Elementary, Junior High School, and Senior High School levels, including Assistant School Principal II (ASP 2).

The applicant's inability to take the principal's test shall not be considered grounds for disqualification. No test result shall only mean no score under the Written Examination component.

Illustrative example:

Vacant position:
School Principal I – SG 19
 $x = 165$ (Principal's Test numerical score)
 $TI = 200$
 $WA = 10$
 $\text{Points}_{(WE)} = (165/200) * 10 = 8.25$

For promotion and appointment to **higher school principal positions**, the HRMPSB or subject matter experts as may be requested by the HRMPSB shall develop a written examination which shall be the basis for scoring the component on Written Examination (5 points).

Illustrative example:

Vacant position:
School Principal II – SG 20
 $x = 85$ (score from the SDO-developed written exam)
 $TI = 100$
 $WA = 5$
 $\text{Points}_{(WE)} = 85/100 * 5 = 4.25$

- b. **Behavioural Events Interview (BEI)** refers to the conduct of direct inquiry with the applicant, focusing on their display of desired behaviour/s when subjected to specific situations or conditions in their previous and/or current workplace. BEI is based on the principle that past behaviour predicts future performance. It uses the STAR approach to validate whether the key behaviours that are linked to the required competencies have been exhibited by the applicant. The STAR approach draws focus on actual Situations in which the applicant acted; the Task/s that the applicant faced; the Actions that the applicant took; and the Results of those actions. The BEI may be used to assess the following areas:
- Aptitude.** The BEI shall be used to assess the applicant's potential or their capacity and ability to assume the duties of the position to be filled and those higher positions that are more technical in nature.
 - Characteristics or traits.** It shall be used to gauge other relevant aspects such as the applicant's psychological and social well-being.
 - Fitness.** It shall serve as an avenue to evaluate an applicant's Job Fit, Location Fit, and Organizational Fit.
 - Other areas that may be identified by the HRMPSB.**

The points allocated for BEI component (5 points for entry level and 10 points for higher positions) shall be the maximum or ceiling points that may be earned by an applicant. The points earned from each area are cumulative to determine the total points for BEI component. The HRMPSB shall determine the appropriate areas relevant to the position to be filled and assign points to each area not exceeding the maximum or ceiling points for BEI.